Approved For Release 2008/08/28 : CIA-RDP86B00338R000300420002-3

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENC AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

Office of General Counsel

Attachment

15 February 1984

NOTE FOR:	Director of Legislative Liaison	
FROM:	Associate General Counsel	
SUBJECT:	Agency Indemnification Program	
such actio	forwarding this to you for on as you deem appropriate. we are willing to provide stance to you as you may require.	

STAT

STAT

Approved For Release 2008/08/28 : CIA-RDP86B00338R000300420002-3

	Approved For Rele	ease 200	8/08/28	· CIA-RDP	86B00338R000300420002-3	STAT
	, (pprovod r or real	,400 200		. 01, (10)	/A Registry	
	P/	DUTING	AND	RECORD	SHEET WY CSS 3//	
SUBJECT: (			<del></del>	,	Employees	
	Indemi			EXTENSION	84-069/	
FROM:	Stanley Sporkin			EXTENSION	ST	AT
	General Counsel				DATE 7 February 1984	STAT
TO: (Officer building)	designation, room number, and	DA	TE FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across calumn after each comment.)	
1. DI		s FEF	1984	1	Although this had already been approved, when I	
2.	D24 HQS	3			reviewed it a second time I thought that a couple of minor changes were advisable	
3. A	cting DCI &	0 8 F	EB 1994		and these are incorporated in the reviwed draft	STAT
4.					I also want you to consider whether you believe it necessary to show this to our oversight committees	
5. Z	DA	9 1, EB	9,1904	R	prior to promulgation.	
6.					- M	
popularia de la compansión de la compans					Stanley Sporkin	
7. Ger	neral Counsel				Attachment	STAT
8.					REVISED DRAFT APPROVE DISAPPROVE	
9.					SHOWING OVERSIGHT COMMITTEES	
10.					APPROVE DISAPPROVE	
11.						
12.					7: A copy of the attached has been sent to C/RCD/OIS for incorporation into	STAT
					Incorporación inco	STAT
13.					EO/DDA	
14.						
15.					€ DCI	L
FORM 6	O USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS				EXEC REG	

MANAGEMENT

## d. Agency Indemnification

- Any person described in subparagraph (2) who, as a result of activities carried out within the scope of his employment, is sued, subpoenaed, or investigated in his individual capacity, or is subjected to investigatory, administrative, professional or state proceedings of any nature, is entitled to be indemnified under section 8 of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949, as amended, for the costs of legal representation by private counsel and for the amount of any judgment or fine entered against him if the General Counsel, in his sole and unreviewable discretion, determines that the person appears to have been acting in good faith and within the scope of his employment, that such indemnification would be in the interests of the Agency, and under all of the circumstances, taking into account the legal expenses and the amount of any judgment or fine, the indemnification would be fair and reasonable. Any decision regarding indemnification of the General Counsel shall be made by the Director of Central Intelligence in his sole and unreviewable discretion.
- (2) This paragraph applies to all Agency officers and employees and to any independent contractor with the Agency whose contract contains an indemnification provision.

STAT

The second secon			84-0352	
R	OUTING AND	RECOR	O SHEET	
SUBJECT: (Optional)  Justice Department Letter C	oncerming the l	Indemnif	ication of Agency Employees	
FROManley Sporkin		EXTENSION	*8GC 84-1384	
General Counsel		<u> </u>	<sup>D</sup> February 1984	STA
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE RECEIVED FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
<sup>1</sup> DDA 7D 24 Hqs	7 FEB 1984	0	1 to 4 & 5:	
2.			This is an area of great concern to our people. I strongly urge that you approve Tab A as a part of the appropriate regulation	
3. ER 7E 12 Hqs			and let Stan send his letter in Tab C to Mr. Willard.	STAT
DDCI DDCI	1 FEB 1684	7	S	ГАТ
5. DCI	17eb. FEB 198	40	Atts	
<b>6.</b>			APPROVE TAB A	
7. DDA 7D 24 Hqs	2-2-84	0	DISAPPROVE TAB A  DCI WILL RAISE	
8. ,			WITH ATTORNEY GENERAL	
9. GC			SEND TAB C	
10.			757 William J. Casey 2 FEB 1984	STA
11:				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				



Agency/Pos	1, room number,	Initials Date	
, of the same of t	J. K.		
2	$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{W}}$	/	
•	Y / July	<b>→</b>     .	
3.	tito Constitution		
<b>6.</b>	Á / ~		
	MIN		
<u>5</u>			
Action	File	Note and Return	
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation	
As Requested	For Correction For Your Information	Prepare Reply Sae Me	`
Circulate	<del></del>	Signature Signature	
Comment Coordination	Investigate Justify	Signature	j
	X 84-0352		· .
	۸	<b>a</b>	
the thi	love you dig Hora	de booster.	
the this	love you big Hora Much!	de booster.	
the this	love you hig Hora	de booster.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
the thi	love you big Hora	de booster.	
the this	love you big Hora Much!	de booster.	
the thi	love you big Hora	de booster.	
the thi	love you big riona	le booster.	
DO NOT use this form	love your big Hora  Much o  Aluch o  as a RECORD of approvals clearances, and similar actio	le booster.	
DO NOT use this form FROM: (Name, org. sym	as a RECORD of approvals clearances, and similar actio	concurrences, disposals, ns  Room No.—Bldg.	
	as a RECORD of approvals clearances, and similar actio	ns	

STAT

STAT



		STAT
MANAGEMENT		

## d. Agency Indemnification

- Any person described in subparagraph (2) who, as a result of his official responsibilities, is sued, subpoenaed, or investigated in his individual capacity, or is subjected to congressional proceedings or state criminal proceedings, is entitled to be indemnified under section 8 of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949, as amended, for the reasonable costs of legal representation by private counsel and for the amount of any judgment or fine entered against him if the General Counsel, in his sole and unreviewable discretion, determines that the person appears to have been acting in good faith and within the scope of his employment, that such indemnification would be in the interests of the Agency, and under all of the circumstances, the indemnification would be fair and reasonable. Any decision regarding indemnification of the General Counsel shall be made by the Director of Central Intelligence in his sole and unreviewable discretion.
- (2) This paragraph applies to all Agency officers and employees and to any independent contractor with the Agency whose contract contains an indemnification provision.

Approved For Release 2008/08/28 : CIA-RDP86B00338R000300420002-3

Approved For Release 2008/08/28 : CIA-RDP86B00338R000300420002-3

Civil Division

84-202

Office of the Assistant Attorney General

Washington, D.C. 20530

13 JAN 1384

Mr. Stanley Sporkin General Counsel Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Sporkin:

Members of your staff have advised Civil Division attorneys that your office is considering a regulation which would permit the Central Intelligence Agency to indemnify present and former CIA employees for legal expenses, fines and judgments incurred in civil, criminal or congressional matters arising from actions taken within the scope of employment. It is understood that the authority for the proposed regulation would be Section 8 of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949, as amended.

We take no position on the underlying authority, although it does not appear that such indemnification is either explicitly or implicitly authorized by the statute. Our concern is with the indemnification provision as a matter of policy. As you know, this Administration has worked since its inception toward securing congressional reform of the Federal Tort Claims Act in order to deal with the serious problem of suits against individual federal officials. The progress of this legislative effort has been difficult but there is reason for hope that favorable consideration will be given to a proposal which would eliminate Bivens suits against all federal employees. At this writing, negotiations are at an extremely precarious stage and a unified front is essential if we are to succeed.

From time to time, various agencies, impatient with the progress of the comprehensive legislative effort, have considered particularized proposals which would provide relief for their own employees. We have always opposed such piecemeal approaches on the grounds that it would create a patchwork of inconsistent remedies and protections resulting in confusion, legal inefficiency and inequity. Further, momentum for general reform would be weakened.

Regardless of whether there is a proper statutory basis, it is our view that strong policy reasons dictate that no further action be taken on the proposed indemnification regulation until it is determined whether or not the Administration's legislative effort will bear fruit.

As always, we welcome your assistance and cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

RICHARD K. WILLARD

Acting Assistant Attorney General

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

**General Counsel** 

22 February 1984

Richard K. Willard, Esquire Acting Assistant Attorney General Civil Division U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Richard:

I have discussed your letter of 13 January 1984 with the Director of Central Intelligence (DCI) and we both fully appreciate the desirability of amending the Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA) so that the United States will be substituted for individual defendants sued in their personal capacity for acts undertaken in the scope of their Government employment. We are aware that negotiations with Congress have been going on for years and we continue to support your efforts to obtain Government-wide relief in this area.

However, we are not confident that the FTCA amendments will be passed anytime in the foreseeable future. Thus, as an interim measure, we are promulgating an Agency regulation which establishes an indemnification program for Agency personnel. To the extent that our regulation is rendered unnecessary by passage of the FTCA amendments in the future, we will be happy to rescind or modify it at that time. But absent the enactment of Government-wide relief, we believe that the Agency must take whatever action is necessary to protect its personnel and to ensure that its mission will not be impaired.

We believe that responsible officials who must make the most sensitive decisions affecting the national security cannot be placed in an environment where they constantly have to be concerned about their personal and financial wellbeing. We would be remiss in our responsibilities if we did not take all necessary action within the mandate of the Agency's charter to prevent this eventuality from occurring.

Congress has recognized the special and sensitive nature of the Agency's mission and has provided the DCI with the broad authorities necessary to carry out that mission. We believe that the various unfounded suits that have been filed against our key officials adversely impact upon the ability of the Agency to fulfill its mission and constitute an occupational hazard which the DCI has been given the power to ameliorate. This has become even more urgent because of our new arrangement with GSA which will increase our protection responsibilities with respect to CIA facilities.

Please understand that our decision to proceed with an indemnification program for Agency personnel should in no way be interpreted as indicating a lack of support by the Agency for Government-wide relief and we will provide you with whatever assistance we can in that effort. We think that contrary to impeding the Government's effort to obtain broad relief, our program will further show that agencies regard the prospect of personal liability to be a very real and substantial threat.

	Sincerely,
1	/ Stanley/Sporkin

STAT